

NEWS RELEASE



For Release: Thursday, March 27, 2014 14-403-DAL

SOUTHWEST INFORMATION OFFICE: Dallas, Texas

Technical information: (972) 850-4800 • BLSInfoDallas@bls.gov • www.bls.gov/regions/southwest/

Media contact: (972) 850-4800

County Employment and Wages in Texas – Third Quarter 2013

Employment rose in 25 of the 26 largest counties in Texas from September 2012 to September 2013, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) reported today. (Large counties are defined as those with employment of 75,000 or more as measured by 2012 annual average employment.) Regional Commissioner Stanley W. Suchman noted that rates of job growth in three Texas counties ranked in the top 10 nationwide. Fort Bend County's 6.0-percent job gain led the nation and the Texas counties of Brazos (5.7 percent, 3rd) and Denton (4.9 percent, 8th) also shared in the top 10 ranking. (See table 1.)

Employment nationwide advanced 1.7 percent from September 2012 as 286 of the 334 largest U.S. counties registered increases. As noted, Fort Bend, Texas, recorded the fastest rate of employment growth in the country, up 6.0 percent, while Peoria, Ill., registered the largest decline, down 3.7 percent.

Among the largest counties in Texas, employment was highest in Harris County (2,192,300) in September 2013, followed by Dallas County (1,509,000). Three other counties, Tarrant, Bexar, and Travis, had employment levels exceeding 600,000. Together, the 26 largest Texas counties accounted for 80.1 percent of total employment within the state. Nationwide, the 334 largest counties made up 71.4 percent of total U.S. employment.

From the third quarter of 2012 to the third quarter of 2013, average weekly wages nationwide increased 1.9 percent to \$922. Among large counties in Texas, Gregg County registered the largest increase in average weekly wages with a gain of 4.1 percent, while Brazos recorded the largest decrease, down 1.0 percent. (See <u>table 1</u>.) In the third quarter of 2013, Harris had the highest average weekly wage among the state's largest counties at \$1,187 and Cameron had the lowest at \$587.

Employment and wage levels (but not over-the-year changes) are also available for the 228 counties in Texas with employment levels below 75,000 in 2012. Among these smaller counties, 89 percent (202) had average weekly wages below the national average in September 2013. (See <u>table 2</u>.)

Large county wage changes

Fourteen of Texas's 26 large counties recorded wage growth above the 1.9-percent national increase from the third quarter of 2012 to the third quarter of 2013. Gregg County's 4.1-percent wage increase was the highest in the state and placed 15th in the national ranking. (See <u>table 1</u>.) Four additional Texas

counties registered wage growth ranking in the top 50 nationally: Fort Bend (3.6 percent, 29th); Midland (3.5 percent, 31st); and Brazoria and Montgomery (3.4 percent each, tied 37th). In contrast, average weekly wages fell in Brazos and Webb Counties, decreasing 1.0 percent and 0.2 percent, respectively.

Among the 334 largest U.S. counties, 291 had over-the-year increases in average weekly wages. San Mateo, Calif., had the largest increase with a gain of 9.9 percent. Dane, Wis., was second with wage growth of 9.3 percent, followed by Collier, Fla. (8.0 percent). Wage decreases were recorded in 40 large counties nationwide; Pinellas, Fla., experienced the largest over-the-year wage loss at 4.3 percent.

Large county average weekly wages

Average weekly wages in 5 of the 26 large Texas counties were at least 10 percent above the national average of \$922 per week in the third quarter of 2013. Harris County led at \$1,187 per week and ranked 20th among the 334 large counties nationwide. Harris was followed by Midland (\$1,148, 25th), Dallas (\$1,115, 33rd), Collin (\$1,070, 43rd), and Travis (\$1,028, 53rd). Two additional Texas counties reported average weekly wages above the national average: Fort Bend (\$969, 75th), and Williamson (\$928, 96th).

Texas had 4 of the 11 lowest-paying large counties in the United States, all located along the border with Mexico. These included Cameron (\$587, 333rd), Hidalgo (\$595, 332nd), Webb (\$636, 330th) and El Paso (\$666, 324th). Other Texas counties with low national rankings included Brazos (\$711, 314th), Lubbock (\$736, 296th), and McLennan (\$748, 284th).

Nationally, weekly wages were higher than the U.S. average in 101 of the largest counties in the country. Santa Clara, Calif., held the top position among the highest-paid large counties with an average weekly wage of \$1,868. San Mateo, Calif., was second at \$1,698, followed by New York, N.Y. (\$1,667), Washington, D.C. (\$1,560), and San Francisco, Calif. (\$1,549).

Of the largest counties in the United States, 232, or more than two-thirds, reported average weekly wages below the national average in the third quarter of 2013. The lowest wage was reported in Horry, S.C., at \$564 per week. Pasco, Fla. (\$635), joined the Texas counties of Cameron, Hidalgo, and Webb among the bottom five. Wages in these five lowest-ranked counties were about one-third or less of the average weekly wage in the highest-ranked county, Santa Clara, Calif.

Average weekly wages in smaller Texas counties

Twenty-six of the 228 smaller Texas counties – those with employment below 75,000 – reported average weekly wages above the national average of \$922. Two of these smaller counties had wages that not only exceeded \$1,000 per week, but were also the highest in the state: Carson (\$1,336), and Shackelford (\$1,229). Delta County registered the lowest weekly wage, averaging \$373 in the third quarter of 2013. (See <u>table 2</u>.)

When all 254 counties in Texas were considered, all but 33 had wages below the national average. Thirty-eight reported average weekly wages under \$600, 78 registered wages from \$600 to \$699, 58 had wages from \$700 to \$799, 40 had wages from \$800 to \$899, and 40 had wages of \$900 or more per week. (See chart 1.) The counties with the highest wages were generally concentrated around the metropolitan areas of Austin, Amarillo, Dallas, Fort Worth, Houston, Midland, and Odessa. Lower paying counties were generally located in the agricultural areas of central Texas and the Texas Panhandle, as well as along the Texas-Mexico border.

Additional Statistics and Other Information

QCEW data for states have been included in this release in <u>table 3</u>. For additional information about quarterly employment and wages data, please read the Technical Note or visit <u>www.bls.gov/cew</u>.

Employment and Wages Annual Averages Online features comprehensive information by detailed industry on establishments, employment, and wages for the nation and all states. The 2012 edition of this publication contains selected data produced by Business Employment Dynamics (BED) on job gains and losses, as well as selected data from the first quarter 2013 version of the national news release. Tables and additional content from Employment and Wages Annual Averages 2012 are now available online at www.bls.gov/cew/cewbultn12.htm. The 2013 edition of Employment and Wages Annual Averages Online will be available in September 2014.

Information in this release will be made available to sensory impaired individuals upon request. Voice phone: 202-691-5200; Federal Relay Service: 1-800-877-8339.

Technical Note

Average weekly wage data by county are compiled under the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program, also known as the ES-202 program. The data are derived from summaries of employment and total pay of workers covered by state and federal unemployment insurance (UI) legislation and provided by State Workforce Agencies (SWAs). The 9.3 million employer reports cover 135.0 million full- and part-time workers. The average weekly wage values are calculated by dividing quarterly total wages by the average of the three monthly employment levels of those covered by UI programs. The result is then divided by 13, the number of weeks in a quarter. It is to be noted, therefore, that over-the-year wage changes for geographic areas may reflect shifts in the composition of employment by industry, occupation, and such other factors as hours of work. Thus, wages may vary among counties, metropolitan areas, or states for reasons other than changes in the average wage level. Data for all states, Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs), counties, and the nation are available on the BLS Web site at www.bls.gov/cew/; however, data in QCEW press releases have been revised (see Technical Note below) and may not match the data contained on the Bureau's Web site.

QCEW data are not designed as a time series. QCEW data are simply the sums of individual establishment records reflecting the number of establishments that exist in a county or industry at a point in time. Establishments can move in or out of a county or industry for a number of reasons—some reflecting economic events, others reflecting administrative changes.

The preliminary QCEW data presented in this release may differ from data released by the individual states as well as from the data presented on the BLS Web site. These potential differences result from the states' continuing receipt, review and editing of UI data over time. On the other hand, differences between data in this release and the data found on the BLS Web site are the result of adjustments made to improve over-the-year comparisons. Specifically, these adjustments account for administrative (noneconomic) changes such as a correction to a previously reported location or industry classification. Adjusting for these administrative changes allows users to more accurately assess changes of an economic nature (such as a firm moving from one county to another or changing its primary economic activity) over a 12-month period. Currently, adjusted data are available only from BLS press releases.

Table 1. Covered⁽¹⁾ employment and wages in the United States and the 26 largest counties in Texas, third quarter 2013⁽²⁾

	Employment			Average Weekly Wage (3)			
Area	September 2013 (thousands)	Percent change, September 2012-13 ⁽⁴⁾	National ranking by percent change (5)	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level (5)	Percent change, third quarter 2012-13 (4)	National ranking by percent change (5)
United States (6)	134,957.5	1.7		\$922		1.9	
Texas	11,091.9	2.8		952	12	2.5	15
Bell, Texas	111.1	1.4	174	770	256	2.5	77
Bexar, Texas	773.3	2.6	77	827	197	1.2	208
Brazoria, Texas	96.2	3.2	48	908	116	3.4	37
Brazos, Texas	94.9	5.7	3	711	314	-1.0	318
Cameron, Texas	131.9	1.8	140	587	333	2.3	92
Collin, Texas	330.3	4.8	11	1,070	43	0.8	236
Dallas, Texas	1,509.0	3.2	48	1,115	33	2.8	59
Denton, Texas	195.5	4.9	8	837	187	1.6	172
El Paso, Texas	282.4	1.5	162	666	324	2.0	127
Fort Bend, Texas	157.8	6.0	1	969	75	3.6	29
Galveston, Texas	98.5	2.8	62	805	220	0.2	275
Gregg, Texas	77.1	0.9	225	846	174	4.1	15
Harris, Texas	2,192.3	2.9	60	1,187	20	2.9	51
Hidalgo, Texas	231.7	2.6	77	595	332	2.1	108
Jefferson, Texas	116.9	-2.0	331	921	103	0.9	224
Lubbock, Texas	129.1	2.3	97	736	296	2.6	70
McLennan, Texas	103.3	1.2	194	748	284	1.4	192
Midland, Texas	85.3	4.5	14	1,148	25	3.5	31
Montgomery, Texas	151.4	4.8	11	903	122	3.4	37
Nueces, Texas	159.7	1.8	140	817	199	2.4	87
Potter, Texas	77.3	1.3	187	778	251	1.8	146
Smith, Texas	95.2	2.5	85	784	248	1.6	172
Tarrant, Texas	812.6	3.0	57	912	110	0.6	248
Travis, Texas	637.8	4.1	20	1,028	53	2.4	87
Webb, Texas	92.8	1.9	130	636	330	-0.2	301
Williamson, Texas	139.9	4.3	16	928	96	1.5	181

⁽¹⁾ Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.

⁽²⁾ Data are preliminary.

⁽³⁾ Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.
(4) Percent changes were computed from quarterly employment and pay data adjusted for noneconomic county reclassifications.

⁽⁵⁾ Ranking does not include the county of San Juan, Puerto Rico.

⁽⁶⁾ Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Table 2. Covered $^{(1)}$ employment and wages in the United States and all counties in Texas third quarter $2013^{(2)}$

Area	Employment September 2013	Average Weekly Wage (3)
United States (4)	134,957,493	\$922
Texas	11,091,882	952
Anderson	18,290	754
Andrews	7,438	1,094
Angelina	36,149	709
Aransas	6,487	658
Archer	2,067	684
Armstrong	408	524
Atascosa	13,145	814
Austin	9,982	846
Bailey	2,557	634
Bandera	3,040	588
Bastrop	15,135	651
Baylor	1,158	602
Bee	10,308	771
Bell	111,073	770
Bexar	773,319	827
Blanco	2,743	784
Borden	222	527
Bosque	3,678	622
Bowie	40,768	689
Brazoria	96,157	908
Brazos	94,855	711
Brewster	3,765	745
Briscoe	314	524
Brooks	2,682	759
Brown	15,062	630
Burleson	4,404	828
Burnet	12,663	703
Caldwell	8,077	671
Calhoun	10,919	1,099
Callahan	2,013	697
Cameron	131,885	587
Camp	4,176	648
Carson	4,341	1,336
Cass	7,269	702
Castro	2,549	614
Chambers	13,037	1,070
Cherokee	14,882	618
Childress	2,383	588

Table 2. Covered $^{(1)}$ employment and wages in the United States and all counties in Texas third quarter $2013^{(2)}$ - Continued

Area	Employment September 2013	Average Weekly Wage (3)
Clay	1,435	604
Cochran	727	686
Coke	684	548
Coleman	2,036	529
Collin	330,301	1,070
Collingsworth	801	671
Colorado	6,971	691
Comal	43,384	693
Comanche	3,684	553
Concho	847	651
Cooke	16,310	859
Coryell	14,440	615
Cottle	430	635
Crane	1,777	1,185
Crockett	1,705	695
Crosby	1,439	614
Culberson	1,163	583
Dallam	4,219	729
Dallas	1,509,027	1,115
Dawson	4,331	863
De Witt	7,329	714
Deaf Smith	7,381	688
Delta	1,468	373
Denton	195,456	837
Dickens	437	592
Dimmit	5,965	893
Donley	1,076	805
Duyal	3,713	859
Eastland	7,711	823
Ector	72,124	1,055
Edwards	359	626
El Paso	282,372	666
Ellis	43,254	721
Erath	15,265	598
Falls	3,140	610
Fannin	6,773	696
Fayette	9,054	719
Fisher	883	668
Floyd	1,738	613
Foard	322	535

Table 2. Covered $^{(1)}$ employment and wages in the United States and all counties in Texas third quarter $2013^{(2)}$ - Continued

Franklin Freestone Frio Gaines Gaines Galveston Garza Gillespie Glasscock Goliad Gonzales Gray Grayson Gregg Grimes Guadalupe Hale Hall Hamilton Hansford Hardeman Hardin Harris Harrison Harrison Harskell Halys Haskell Hays Hewphill	57,799 3,115 5,790 6,049 5,945 98,499 1,953 9,550 482 1,427 6,874 9,238 43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577 12,101	969 631 804 849 825 805 747 623 574 747 690 842 755 846 837 740 621
Freestone Frio Gaines Galveston Garza Gillespie Glasscock Goliad Gonzales Gray Gray Griyon Gregg Grimes Guadalupe Hale Hall Hamilton Hardin Hardin Hardin Harris Harrison Harrison Harshell Halskell Halskell Halys Hemphill	5,790 6,049 5,945 98,499 1,953 9,550 482 1,427 6,874 9,238 43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577	804 849 825 805 747 623 574 747 690 842 755 846 837 740 621
Frio	6,049 5,945 98,499 1,953 9,550 482 1,427 6,874 9,238 43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577	849 825 805 747 623 574 747 690 842 755 846 837 740 621
Gaines	5,945 98,499 1,953 9,550 482 1,427 6,874 9,238 43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577	825 805 747 623 574 747 690 842 755 846 837 740 621
Galveston	98,499 1,953 9,550 482 1,427 6,874 9,238 43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577	805 747 623 574 747 690 842 755 846 837 740 621
Garza	1,953 9,550 482 1,427 6,874 9,238 43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577	747 623 574 747 690 842 755 846 837 740 621
Gillespie Glasscock Goliad Gonzales Gray Grayson Gregg Grimes Guadalupe Hale Hall Hamilton Harnsford Hardeman Hardin Harrison Harrison Hartley Haskell Hays	9,550 482 1,427 6,874 9,238 43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577	623 574 747 690 842 755 846 837 740 621
Gillespie Glasscock Goliad Gonzales Gray Grayson Gregg Grimes Guadalupe Hale Hall Hamilton Harnsford Hardeman Hardin Harrison Harrison Hartley Haskell Hays	482 1,427 6,874 9,238 43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577	574 747 690 842 755 846 837 740 621
Goliad	1,427 6,874 9,238 43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577	747 690 842 755 846 837 740 621
Gonzales Gray Grayson Gregg Grimes Guadalupe Hale Hall Hamilton Harsford Hardeman Hardin Harris Harrison Hartley Haskell Hays Hemphill	6,874 9,238 43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577	690 842 755 846 837 740 621
Gray	6,874 9,238 43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577	842 755 846 837 740 621
Grayson	9,238 43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577	755 846 837 740 621
Grayson	43,448 77,052 8,166 31,577	846 837 740 621
Gregg Grimes Guadalupe Hale Hall Hamilton Hansford Hardeman Hardin Harris Harrison Hartley Haskell Hays Hemphill Hemphill	77,052 8,166 31,577	837 740 621
Grimes Guadalupe Hale Hall Hamilton Harsford Hardeman Hardin Harris Harrison Hartley Haskell Hays	8,166 31,577	740 621
Guadalupe Hale Hall Hamilton Harsford Hardeman Hardin Harris Harrison Hartley Haskell Hays Hemphill Hemphill	31,577	621
Hale Hale Hall Hamilton Hansford Hardeman Hardin Harris Harrison Hartley Haskell Hays Hemphill Hamilton	· ·	621
Hall Hamilton Hansford Hardeman Hardin Harris Harrison Hartley Haskell Hays Hemphill Hamilton		
Hansford Hardeman Hardin Harris Harrison Hartley Haskell Hays Hemphill Hamphill	829	601
Hansford Hardeman Hardin Harris Harrison Hartley Haskell Hays Hemphill Hamphill	2,476	619
Hardeman ————————————————————————————————————	2,148	862
Hardin 2,1 Harris 2,1 Harrison 4artley Haskell 4ays Hemphill 4artley	1,099	613
Harris 2,1 Harrison + Arrison Hartley + Askell Hays + Alemphill	12,508	742
Harrison Hartley Haskell Hays Hemphill	92,332	1,187
HartleyHaskellHaysHay	24,796	934
Haskell	2,158	661
Hays	1,669	592
Hemphill	55,549	664
'	2,340	956
TOTO CONTROL TO THE C	16,002	614
Hidalgo	31,715	595
Hill	9,605	675
	10,504	912
·	15,892	810
	· ·	688
Houston.	1//95	811
	12,295	817
Hudspeth	6,135	1,024
'	6,135 12,765	
Hutchinson	6,135 12,765 1,118	,
rion	6,135 12,765	810 982

Table 2. Covered $^{(1)}$ employment and wages in the United States and all counties in Texas third quarter $2013^{(2)}$ - Continued

Area	Employment September 2013	Average Weekly Wage (3)
Jack	3,173	994
Jackson	5,564	753
Jasper	10,418	690
Jeff Davis	954	561
Jefferson	116,915	921
Jim Hogg	2,055	736
Jim Wells	19,857	905
Johnson	43,190	745
Jones	3,851	695
Karnes	4,765	769
Kaufman	27,467	703
Kendall	12,174	772
Kenedy	667	1,033
Kent	282	621
Kerr	16,961	693
Kimble	1,399	597
King	110	1,161
Kinney	793	786
Kleberg	13,655	651
Knox	1,214	793
La Salle	3,362	1,029
Lamar	18,924	734
Lamb	4,268	651
Lampasas	4,480	581
Lavaca	5,770	651
Lee	6,544	846
Leon	5,340	860
Liberty	16,791	757
Limestone	8,514	673
Lipscomb	1,226	761
Live Oak	4,455	743
Llano	4,348	620
Loving	75	1,065
Lubbock	129,064	736
Lynn	1,324	656
Madison	4,663	632
Marion	2,005	555
Martin	1,653	818
Mason	1,090	549
Matagorda	10,066	806

Table 2. Covered $^{(1)}$ employment and wages in the United States and all counties in Texas third quarter $2013^{(2)}$ - Continued

Area	Employment September 2013	Average Weekly Wage (3)
Maverick	16,683	588
McCulloch	3,309	740
McLennan	103,271	748
McMullen	570	913
Medina	8,793	636
Menard	435	464
Midland	85,325	1,148
Milam	5,472	833
Mills	1,368	564
Mitchell	2,322	764
Montague	5,751	745
Montgomery	151,433	903
Moore	10,627	738
Morris	4,839	895
Motley	309	494
Nacogdoches	22,063	634
Navarro	17,006	650
Newton	1,600	579
Nolan	5,880	719
Nueces	159,687	817
Ochiltree	5,191	899
Oldham	910	802
Orange	22,490	898
Palo Pinto	8,525	728
Panola	10,536	861
Parker	30,568	796
Parmer	5,479	722
Pecos	5,753	792
Polk	10,634	657
Potter	77,329	778
Presidio	2,451	755
Rains	1,744	535
Randall	28,565	704
Reagan	1,997	953
Real	660	447
Red River	2,472	562
Reeves	4,144	741
Refugio	2,603	750
Roberts	214	668
Robertson	3,738	784

Table 2. Covered $^{(1)}$ employment and wages in the United States and all counties in Texas third quarter 2013 $^{(2)}$ - Continued

Area	Employment September 2013	Average Weekly Wage (3)
Rockwall	23,567	697
Runnels	2,886	631
Rusk	14,279	794
Sabine	2,137	634
San Augustine	1,549	620
San Jacinto	2,102	591
San Patricio	18,781	829
San Saba	1,499	522
Schleicher	1,017	784
Scurry	8,375	962
Shackelford	1,594	1,229
Shelby	8,197	639
Sherman	1,065	689
Smith	95,213	784
Somervell	3,999	1,002
Starr	14,655	531
Stephens	3,279	717
Sterling	667	802
Stonewall	530	705
Sutton	2,556	1,176
Swisher	1,901	585
Tarrant	812,634	912
Taylor	59,002	714
Terrell	391	820
Terry	3,754	729
Throckmorton	453	571
Titus	15,173	637
Tom Green	46,134	711
Travis	637,814	1,028
Trinity	2,340	575
Tyler	3,847	611
Upshur	6,861	673
Upton	1,698	984
Uvalde	9,774	595
Val Verde	16,643	655
Van Zandt	9,952	607
Victoria	40,781	823
Walker	23,725	670
Waller	16,039	817
Ward	4,559	1,014

Table 2. Covered $^{(1)}$ employment and wages in the United States and all counties in Texas third quarter 2013 $^{(2)}$ - Continued

Area	Employment September 2013	Average Weekly Wage ⁽³⁾
Washington	15,186	685
Webb	92,756	636
Wharton	15,465	682
Wheeler	2,926	707
Wichita	52,510	698
Wilbarger	6,478	673
Willacy	3,827	665
Williamson	139,873	928
Wilson	7,093	636
Winkler	2,825	1,083
Wise	21,823	894
Wood	9,202	632
Yoakum	4,221	994
Young	6,847	735
Zapata	4,857	981
Zavala	2,457	503

⁽¹⁾ Includes workers covered by Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees (UCFE) programs.
(2) Data are preliminary.
(3) Average weekly wages were calculated using unrounded data.
(4) Totals for the United States do not include data for Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Table 3. Covered⁽¹⁾ employment and wages by state, third quarter 2013⁽²⁾

	Employment Average weekly wage (ekly wage (3)	/age (3)		
		Percent			Percent	National	
	September	change,	Average	National	change,	ranking by	
21.1	2013	September	weekly	ranking by	third quarter	percent	
State	(thousands)	2012-13	wage	level	2012-13	change	
United States (4)	134,957.5	1.7	\$922		1.9		
Alabama	1,847.6	0.8	794	34	1.3	43	
Alaska	345.0	0.4	990	9	3.0	7	
Arizona	2,490.9	2.2	859	22	1.5	36	
Arkansas	1,156.5	0.1	723	47	2.1	21	
California	15,526.4	2.7	1,057	6	2.1	21	
Colorado	2,355.7	3.1	952	12	1.7	31	
Connecticut	1,650.3	0.7	1,109	3	1.9	28	
Delaware	416.8	2.1	941	14	2.1	21	
District of Columbia	726.2	1.5	1,560	1	3.0	7	
Florida	7,501.8	2.6	808	31	1.1	46	
Georgia	3,928.2	2.3	867	21	1.5	36	
Hawaii	617.7	1.7	839	25	1.6	33	
Idaho	644.7	2.3	703	50	2.3	19	
Illinois	5,731.7	0.7	959	11	1.5	36	
Indiana	2,883.6	1.2	784	38	1.6	33	
lowa	1,512.0	1.5	772	40	2.1	21	
Kansas	1,347.6	1.8	776	39	2.0	26	
Kentucky	1,794.5	1.0	760	43	1.1	46	
Louisiana	1,893.4	1.4	827	28	2.9	10	
Maine	601.5	0.7	735	46	1.8	30	
Maryland	2,546.4	0.6	1,011	8	0.4	51	
Massachusetts	3,318.3	1.2	1,131	2	2.6	11	
Michigan	4,069.7	2.1	875	20	1.5	36	
Minnesota	2,724.2	1.7	938	15	2.6	11	
Mississippi	1,099.1	0.8	688	51	2.5	15	
Missouri	2,661.0	1.3	805	32	1.4	40	
Montana	446.7	1.2	705	49	2.3	19	
Nebraska	937.5	1.3	766	41	3.4	3	
Nevada	1,169.4	2.5	836	27	2.0	26	
New Hampshire	624.5	0.6	895	18	2.4	17	
New Jersey	3,851.9	1.2	1,068	5	1.3	43	
New Mexico	793.7	0.5	766	41	0.7	49	
New York	8,724.8	1.3	1,108	4	1.7	31	
North Carolina	4,006.4	1.7	817	30	1.4	40	
North Dakota	436.7	3.4	921	16	5.5	1	
Ohio	5,147.5	1.4	837	26	1.2	45	
Oklahoma	1,572.6	1.4	797	33	2.4	17	
Oregon	1,709.8	2.4	856	23	2.6	11	

Table 3. Covered⁽¹⁾ employment and wages by state, third quarter 2013⁽²⁾ - Continued

	Emplo	Employment Average weekly wage (3)				
State	September 2013 (thousands)	Percent change, September 2012-13	Average weekly wage	National ranking by level	Percent change, third quarter 2012-13	National ranking by percent change
Pennsylvania	5,622.4	0.3	913	17	1.6	33
Rhode Island	465.2	1.3	878	19	2.6	11
South Carolina	1,859.3	2.3	751	44	1.9	28
South Dakota	408.9	0.9	706	48	3.4	3
Tennessee	2,712.8	1.5	819	29	0.6	50
Texas	11,091.9	2.8	952	12	2.5	15
Utah	1,265.5	2.9	791	36	3.1	6
Vermont	302.5	0.0	788	37	3.4	3
Virginia	3,650.1	0.6	971	10	1.1	46
Washington	3,017.9	2.4	1,044	7	2.1	21
West Virginia	710.3	-0.7	751	44	3.7	2
Wisconsin	2,752.7	1.1	793	35	3.0	7
Wyoming	286.1	0.2	840	24	1.4	40
Puerto Rico	910.9	-2.5	501	(5)	-0.6	(5)
Virgin Islands	37.9	-1.9	706	(5)	-0.6	(5)

Chart 1. Average weekly wages by county in Texas, third quarter 2013

